

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**LPA-1860-2023 (O&M)
Date of decision: 24.11.2023**

Haryana Staff Selection Commission

...Appellant

Vs.

Sandeep and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI, ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY**

**Present: Mr. Deepak Balyan, Addl. A.G., Haryana,
for the appellant.**

Ritu Bahri, Acting Chief Justice

CM-4676-LPA-2023

1. For the reasons mentioned in the application, same is allowed and delay of 1300 days in filing of the appeal is condoned.

LPA-1860-2023 (O&M)

2. The instant appeal, under Clause X of the Letters Patent Appeal, is against the judgment dated 11.05.2018 passed by the learned Single Judge of this Court, whereby petition (CWP-4920-2018) filed by petitioner-respondent No.1 has been allowed and the respondents have been directed to include the name of petitioner in the list of successful candidates and the petitioner was ordered to be considered for appointment to the post of Heavy Vehicle Driver under the category of Outstanding Sports Persons-General Category.

3. While allowing the aforesaid petition, learned Single Judge had

observed that the petitioner (respondent No.1) had secured 87 marks and the last selected candidate in OSP General category, had secured 78 marks.

4. The petitioner-respondent No.1 was not selected on the ground that he did not produce the Sports Gradation Certificate at the time of scrutiny of documents. In the advertisement (Annexure P-1), it was stated that the Sports Gradation Certificate was to be produced at the time of interview. The writ petition was allowed keeping in view the judgment dated 27.11.2017 passed by this Court in CWP-18879-2017 on the ground that the respondents-authorities were not justified in rejecting the petitioner's candidature at the time of scrutiny of documents when the Sports Gradation Certificate was with respect to the event/tournaments, which were conducted prior to the last date provided in the advertisement.

5. After going through the impugned judgment, no ground is made out to interfere therein as the same has been passed after appreciating the law and facts in the correct perspective.

6. Resultantly, finding no merits, present appeal stands dismissed.

(RITU BAHRI)
ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

24.11.2023
ajp

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No