

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

220

CWP-26939-2018

Date of decision: 04.12.2023

JARNAIL SINGH

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present:- Mr. Liaqat Ali, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Niharika Sharma, AAG, Punjab.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

Challenge in the present writ petition is to the impugned order dated 08.08.2018 passed by respondent No. 2 with a further direction that the respondent No.5 should be restrained from using the land of the petitioner for accessing the mining site.

Learned State counsel on instructions from Mr. Gagandeep Singh, SDO, W.R.D. Punjab submits that the grievance espoused by the petitioner does not survive any further since the mining contract awarded to respondent No.5 has already been cancelled on 14.02.2020 and no mining work is under process at the site. Hence, there is no occasion for the mining Contractor to be illegally trespassing through the land of the petitioner.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner feigns the ignorance.

However, taking into consideration, the statement made by the State counsel on instructions from Mr. Gagandeep Singh, SDO, W.R.D. Punjab, the present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous. Liberty is, however, granted to the petitioner to seek revival of the present writ petition in case the aforesaid statement is found to be incorrect.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

DECEMBER 04, 2023
Vishal sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No

