

106

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-26525-2023
Date of Decision: 30.11.2023

Dr. Dharam Pal Malik

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Sube S. Kaushik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that all the required information qua the relief sought, could not be mentioned in the petition, inadvertently. Hence, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to file afresh on the same cause of action with better particulars.

Ordered accordingly.

30.11.2023
ps-I

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No