2023:PHHC:150748

138 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-26591-2023
Date of Decision:28.11.2023

MANJIT SINGH ..... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS ..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr. Karan Bhardwaj, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Aman Dhir, DAG, Punjab.

****

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of order dated 01.03.2018 (Annexure P-4) passed by respondent No.3 to the extent petitioner has been denied salary for the period from 29.11.1995 to 01.04.2018 and order dated 12.03.2020 (Annexure P-6) whereby DGP, Punjab has dismissed appeal.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner, at the outset, submits that he does not press his prayer for salary for the period from 29.11.1995 to 01.04.2018, however, on account of reinstatement, the aforesaid period may be considered for the purpose of pension.

3. There is neither prayer in the petition nor there is representation by petitioner to respondents for the aforesaid claim. In the absence of prayer, this Court cannot direct the State to consider claim of the petitioner *qua* continuity of service for pension.

4. The petition stands disposed of with liberty to petitioner to make an appropriate representation with respect to his claim of continuity of service for pension.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

28.11.2023
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	*Yes/No*

Asgar Ali
2023.11.29 08:09
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document