

215 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-59538-2023

Date of Decision: 01.12.2023

Raveen Kumar alias Guli

...Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat**Present :** Mr. Ajay Pal Singh Rehan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.M.S.Bajwa, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. G.S.Randhawa, Advocate for
Mr. Sandeep Godara, Advocate
for the complainant.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the instant petition under Section 439 of the Cr.P.C. with a prayer to grant regular bail to him in case FIR No.219 dated 03.09.2022 registered under Sections 307, 324, 148, 149 and 506 of IPC (Sections 201 & 326 of IPC added subsequently) at Police Station City Hoshiarpur, District Hoshiarpur.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in the present case, the occurrence had taken place on 29.08.2022 and the FIR was registered on 03.09.2022 by the complainant, after a long delay. He further contends that in the present case, the matter has been amicably resolved between the parties vide compromise deed dated 29.08.2023 (Annexure P-2) and after the compromise, both the parties are living peacefully. Still further, similarly placed co-accused

Shahzad Chaudhary @ Shahzad Chaudhary, Ritik alias Ritik Sabharwal and Gaurav @ Pendu have been granted the concession of bail by this Court vide orders Annexures P-4 to P-6 and the petitioner also deserves the concession of bail by this Court.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that very serious allegations have been levelled against the present petitioner.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

5. The petitioner was arrested in the present case on 08.08.2023 and is in custody for the last about 04 months. The injured has already been discharged and is hale and hearty. Apart from that, the matter has been compromised between the parties and the learned counsel for the complainant has already stated that he has no objection, in case the present petition is allowed by this Court.

6. Keeping in view the abovestated fact and without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate, concerned.

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

01.12.2023
hemlata

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No