

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

111

CWP-26489-2023

Date of Decision :-24.11.2023

Sandeep

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Ravinder Singh Dhull, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the transfer of the petitioner has been effected by giving reason that the same has been made on the request of the petitioner whereas, no such request has ever been made by the petitioner.

2. Notice of motion.

3. Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and submits that transfer order dated 17.11.2023 (Annexure P/1) is incorrect as there is no request received from the petitioner but the same was made on the basis of the administrative exigency. He further submits that the impugned order of transfer may kindly be treated as withdrawn with liberty to the respondents to pass a fresh order, if admissible, under law.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as the impugned

order of transfer has already been withdrawn by the respondents, present petition has been rendered infructuous and the same may kindly be disposed of as such.

5. Ordered accordingly.

November 24, 2023
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No