

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

COCp-4182-2019 (O&M)
Date of decision: 19.07.2023

Anupam ...Petitioner

Versus

R. K. Kohli and another ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. A. K. Walia, Advocate
for the petitioner.

None for respondent No. 1.

Mr. Satnam Chauhan, Advocate
for respondent No. 2.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

Since on 31.01.2020, learned counsel for the respondents submitted that there is no substitution of the petitioner with a contractual employee, learned counsel for the petitioner had sought time to file a specific affidavit specifying the name of the employee, who was appointed in her place, however, despite the lapse of a period of more than three years, no such affidavit has been filed so far.

In view of the above, the present petition is rendered infructuous.

Rule stands discharged.

The petitioner is granted liberty to avail the alternative remedy, in accordance with law, if so advised.

19.07.2023
Waseem Ansari

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>