

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

138

CWP-26515-2023
Date of Decision: 13.12.2023

Saroj Bala ...Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Arshit Goel, Advocate for the petitioner
Ms. Amrita Singh, Central Govt. Counsel,
for Union of India-respondents

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of order dated 04.10.2023 (Annexure P-7) passed by respondent No.3 whereby claim of the petitioner for extra-ordinary pension, on account of death of her husband due to heart attack while performing duty, has been rejected.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner, at the outset, submits that case of the petitioner is squarely covered by judgment dated 03.11.2023 of this Court in ***Raj Bala v. Union of India and others, CWP No.11718 of 2019.***
3. Faced with this, learned counsel for the respondents expressed her inability to controvert applicability of the aforesaid judgment to the facts of the present case.
4. The present petition stands allowed in terms of judgment dated 03.11.2023 passed by this Court in ***Raj Bala (supra).***
5. The needful shall be done within six months from today.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

13.12.2023
Mohit Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No