

CWP-37876-2018
CWP-7376-2020 (O&M)

-1-

2023:PHHC:152013

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

229

CWP-37876-2018
Decided on : 29.11.2023

Archana and others

. . . Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

. . . Respondents

AND

CWP-7376-2020 (O&M)

Jai Parkash and another

. . . Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

. . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

PRESENT: Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in the present set of petitions, two prayers have been raised, one for regularization of services and second that they be not replaced with another set of contractual employees on the same terms and conditions on which the petitioners are working.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the present petition was filed in the year 2018 and keeping in view the status quo order passed, the petitioners are continuing in service as of now and the respondents have already framed a scheme so as to adjust the employees like petitioners. Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that the salary for the month of October and November has not been paid.

3. Learned State counsel submits that as the petitioners are already continuing in service under the interim order of the Court, the claim of the petitioners for adjustment will be considered in case, any such scheme is being drafted or implemented by the respondent-department.

4. Learned State counsel further submits that it may be recorded that the petitioners will not be replaced with the similar set of employees on the same terms and contentions but the department be given liberty to assess as to whether, keeping in view the quantum of work which exists with the department, the services of the petitioners are required or not and in case, the services of the petitioners are not required, the due reasons for the same will be given keeping in view the instructions which have been issued by the Government of Haryana from time to time by passing speaking order.

4. Learned State counsel further submits that in case, it is found that for any period, the petitioners have not been paid salary, the same will be released forthwith without there being any delay.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement of the learned State counsel, the present petitions have been rendered infructuous and the same may kindly be disposed of as such.
6. Ordered accordingly.
7. A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of another connected case.
8. Pending civil miscellaneous applications are disposed of as such.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

29.11.2023
Mehak

<i>Whether reasoned/speaking?</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable?</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>