

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

(111)

CWP-26753-2023

Date of decision: - 29.11.2023

Tarique Ahmad

....Petitioner

Versus

Silver Oaks College and another

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Sanjeev Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

\*\*\*\*

**VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)**

1. Present writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of an appropriate writ, order or direction especially in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent No.1-College to return the original certificates of the petitioner marked as Annexures P-4 to P-8, which have been withheld/retained by the respondent No.1-College.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that although, the petitioner had sent an email dated 09.04.2022 (Annexure P-17) to respondent No.1-College, but respondent No.1-College has not responded to the petitioner. It is further submitted that for the grievances raised by the petitioner, he be permitted to give a detailed representation to respondent No.1-College within a period of two weeks from today and prays that respondent No.1-College be directed to consider the same, in accordance with law, in a specified time frame and in case the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious, then, grant the appropriate

relief.

3. Keeping in view the above-said facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of in the following terms: -

- (i) It would be open to the petitioner to give a detailed representation to respondent No.1-College within a period of two weeks from today.
- (ii) In case any such representation is filed by the petitioner, respondent No.1-College would consider the same within a period of four weeks from the date of submission of the said representation and in case after considering the said representation, respondent No.1-College is of the view that the pleas raised by the petitioner are meritorious, then, the necessary relief be granted to him as expeditiously as possible. In case respondent No.1-College is of the view that the pleas raised by the petitioner are meritless, then, a speaking order rejecting the claim be passed within the aforesaid period of four weeks.

4. It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the case and respondent No.1-College would consider and decide the matter independently, in accordance with law.

**November 29, 2023**

naresh.k

**( VIKAS BAHL )  
JUDGE**

Whether reasoned/speaking?  
Whether reportable?

Yes/No  
Yes/No