

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

251

CRM-M-59347-2023
Date of decision:30.11.2023

Ishu Garg @ Cheenu

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Chahat Goyal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Mohit Kapoor, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of bail under Section 439 of the Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.27 dated 23.04.2023 under Sections 420, 120-B of the IPC and Section 66(D) of Information Technology Act, 2000 registered at Police Station Khanauri, District Sangrur.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that subsequent to the registration of the FIR in question, the parties have amicably settled all the disputes and arrived at an amicable settlement for which they have also approached this Court by way of CRM-M-56112-2023 for quashing of the FIR, wherein they have also been directed to get their respective statements recorded before the trial Court. Learned counsel has further submitted that identically placed co-accused Ravinder Kumar have since been extended the concession of regular bail by this Court vide order dated 17.11.2023.

3. Mr. Shadab Ahmad, Advocate entered appearance on behalf of the complainant and has not disputed the submissions made by the counsel opposite. He also does not oppose the prayer made for extending the

concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions, has also not disputed that the parties have indeed approached this Court by way of CRM-M-56112-2023 for quashing of FIR in question on the basis of compromise.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the material placed on record.

6. In the facts and circumstances as enumerated hereinabove, this Court deems it fit to extend the concession of bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail to the satisfaction of the Trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned. However, it is made clear that anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

30.11.2023
manoj

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No