

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

263

CRM-M-54226-2018

Date of decision: 01.12.2023

Pardeep Kumar Badan

.....Petitioner

Versus

Raj Kumar Goyal

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : None.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. Case has been called twice, however, yet again there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner.

2. It would be apposite to reproduce all the previous orders right from 07.12.2018, which read as under:-

“Order dated 07.12.2018

*Present: Mr. Inder Pal Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.*

On request, adjourned to 16.01.2019.

Order dated 16.01.2019

*Present: Mr. Ravi Chadha, Advocate for
Mr. Inder Pal Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.*

On request, list again on 10.07.2019.

Order dated 10.07.2019

Present:- None

*In the interest of justice, adjourned to
13.08.2019.*

Order dated 13.08.2019

Present: None

*It is the case of the petitioner that the
petitioner had given the cheques to the complainant
pursuant to compromise. The cheques have even been*

encashed by the complainant. Still he has not withdrawn the complaint.

Notice of motion for 30.10.2019.

Order dated 30.10.2019

*Present: Mr. Inder Pal Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.*

As per Office report, notice could not be issued to respondent for want of process fee.

Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks some time to do the needful.

On filing of process fee within a period of four weeks from today, notice be issued to respondent for 18.03.2020.

Order dated 14.11.2022

Present: None for the petitioner.

In the interest of justice, adjourned to 19.12.2022.

Order dated 19.01.2023

Present: None.

There is no representation on behalf of the parties.

In the interest of justice, adjourned to 17.04.2023.

Order dated 04.09.2023

Present: None.

As per the office report, notice could not be issued to the respondent for want of process fee.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is directed to make necessary compliance, whereupon fresh notice be issued to the respondent for 30.11.2023.

Order dated 30.11.2023

Present: None.

Case called, however nobody has entered appearance on behalf of the petitioner.

As per the office report, process fee has still not been filed, even though on the last date of hearing the petitioner had been directed to make necessary compliance whereupon fresh notice was to be issued to the respondent.

A perusal of the previous orders reveals that the instant petition has been pending since the year 2018;

CRM-M-54226-2018

however, either there has been no appearance on behalf of the petitioner or an adjournment has been sought for filing the process fee.
Purely in the interest of justice, adjourned to 01.12.2023.”

3. On a perusal of above reproduced orders, it seems that the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the instant petition.
4. Dismissed for non-prosecution.

01.12.2023 (MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
Vinay JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No