

2023:PHHC:149350

**108 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-26324-2023

Date of Decision: 23.11.2023

SATYA PARKASH SHARMA

....Petitioner

VERSUS

HARYANA STATE WAREHOUSING CORPN. AND ANOTHER

....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Gaurav Rana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL J. (ORAL)

It has been asserted in the Writ petition invoking jurisdiction of this Court under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India that a statutory appeal dated 01.09.2022 (Annexure P-7) was preferred which is pending before respondent No. 2-Appellate Authority, whereby he has challenged the punishment orders dated 14.09.2021 (Annexures P-4 to P-6) vide which the recovery of Rs. 13,14,990.95/- has been ordered against him on account of storage loss/less assured gain.

Without adverting to the merits of the petition, in view of the statement made by the counsel for the petitioner that he will be satisfied if in a time bound manner, the authorities are directed to decide its statutory appeal and accordingly, respondent No. 2/Appellate Authority is directed to decide the appeal within a period of 03 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this Court in accordance with law.

The present petition is disposed of in aforesaid terms.

**(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE**

23.11.2023

Ajay Goswami

*Whether Speaking reasoned
Whether reportable*

*Yes/No
Yes/No*