

**104 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH****CRM-M-61146 of 2022
Decided on 02.01.2023****Anand****...Petitioner****Versus****State of Haryana****..... Respondent*****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL*****Present: Mr. Raj Kaushik, Advocate
for the petitioner.*************VIKRAM AGGARWAL, J.(ORAL)**

The petitioner was facing trial in case FIR No.885 dated 28.12.2019, under Section 427, 506, 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station City, District Rohtak.

He absented from the proceedings on 23.07.2021 as a result of which non-bailable warrants were issued. He filed a petition seeking anticipatory bail in the Court of Sessions. The same was disposed of by the Additional Sessions Judge, Rohtak vide order dated 21.09.2022. The petitioner was directed to surrender before the trial Court and the trial Court was asked to decide the bail application filed by the petitioner in accordance with law. The petitioner, however, did not appear before the trial Court and instead has preferred the present bail application.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner did not surrender before the trial Court in pursuance to the order passed by the Additional Sessions Judge, Rohtak as he was trying to amicably settle the matter. It has been contended that the petitioner will surrender before the trial Court within a period

of one week from today but apprehends that the trial Court will put him in custody.

Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with the direction that in case the petitioner surrenders before the trial Court on or before 09.01.2023 and files an application for bail, the learned trial Court shall decide the same expeditiously and preferably on the same day.

02.01.2023

R.sharma

**(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No.*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No.*