

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

118

CWP-26331-2023
Date of Decision: 23.11.2023

Sunil Kumar and others ...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others ...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present:- Mr. Chanderhas Yadav, Advocate for the petitioners

Mr. Sanjeev Kaushik, Addl. AG, Haryana

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed, *inter alia*, seeking a writ of *certiorari* quashing the promotion of private respondents on the post of Sub Divisional Officer (Irrigation Branch) (Group-B) in pursuance of proceeding of the Departmental Promotion Committee meeting held on 18.07.2022, Annexure P-15, and agenda for the meeting, Annexure P-14. Further, a writ of *mandamus* has been sought directing the respondent authorities to promote the petitioners to the post of Sub Divisional Officer (Irrigation Branch) (Group-B) in accordance to the Haryana Service of Engineer, Group-B, Public Works Department (Irrigation Branch) Rules, 1970 amended upto 23.03.1999.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that grievance raised herein is pending for appropriate decision before the respondents. At this

stage, the petitioners will be satisfied in case respondent No.3 is directed to

decide the pending representation, dated 26.09.2023, Annexure P-25, in a specified period.

3. Notice of motion.
4. Mr. Sanjeev Kaushik, Addl. AG, Haryana, appearing on advance notice, submits that the pending representation, if any, will be decided within six weeks. In case, the same has already been decided, decision thereof will be conveyed to the petitioners.
5. In view thereof, without going into merits of the case, the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3/Engineer-in-Chief, Irrigation and Water Resources Department, Haryana, to consider and decide the pending representation dated 26.09.2023, by passing a speaking order in accordance with law, within a period of two months weeks from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order.
6. In case the order is not passed within the stipulated period, the officer concerned shall pay costs of ₹25,000 to the petitioners.

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

23.11.2023
Payal

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No