

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-26323-2023

Date of decision: 30.11.2023

Satish Kumar Chugh and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL**

Present: Mr. Amit Jhanji, Senior Advocate, with
Mr. Udit Jain, Advocate, and
Mr. Naman Jain, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Additional Advocate General, Haryana,
Mr. Karan Jindal, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana
Ms. Kushaldeep Kaur, Advocate.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Learned Senior counsel for the petitioners submits that aggrieved by an order dated November 07, 2023, (P-20), passed by the Director General, Town & Country Planning, Haryana, the petitioners had preferred an appeal under Section 19 of the Haryana Development and Regulation of Urban Areas Act, 1975. He submits that one of the grievances/concerns of the petitioners that led them to file this petition was that their appeal was not being heard/adjudicated by the appellate authority.

However, we are informed that the matter was taken up by the appellate authority on 28.11.2023, on which date, notices were issued to the respondents, and now the matter has been posted for 26.12.2023.

Accordingly, as always, learned Senior counsel for the petitioners fairly submits that nothing substantive survives in the petition and same be disposed of, as having been rendered infructuous. For the petitioners shall now pursue the matter before the appellate authority as also their prayer for interim relief, on the adjourned date, i.e. 26.12.2023.

To this, Mr. Ankur Mittal, learned Additional Advocate General, Haryana, submits that the appellate authority shall make every possible endeavour to decide the matter, as expeditiously as possible, after affording an opportunity of hearing to all the stakeholders. Further, he submits that in case the prayer for interim relief is pressed by the petitioners on the adjourned date, the authority shall also consider the same and deal therewith, in accordance with law.

In the wake of the above, the petition is disposed of, as having been rendered infructuous.

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

30.11.2023
Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No