

283 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA**
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-61034-2022
Date of decision: 13.07.2023

LALIT NAIYAR @ LALIT NAYYAR AND ORS. ...PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANR. ...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present: Mr. Chander Shekhar, Advocate for the petitioners.
 Mr. Surinder Kumar Dagar, DAG, Haryana.

VIVEK PURI,J. (ORAL)

1. Mr. Rishab Goyal, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of respondent No.2 and filed memo of appearance, which is taken on record.
2. Reply by way of affidavit of Randhir Singh, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Shahabad, Kurukshetra on behalf of the respondent-State has been filed and the same is taken on record.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submit that though respondent No.2 has received an amount of Rs.10,10,000/- on the basis of compromise but subsequently, withdrawn from the same. He seeks to withdraw the present petition with liberty to avail alternative remedy as may be available to them in accordance with law.
4. Learned counsel for respondent No.2 submits that respondent No.2 has withdrawn from the compromise as the petitioner has not performed the obligations imposed upon them as per the terms of the compromise.
5. Without dilating the merits of the allegations, the petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as sought by the petitioner in accordance with law.

13.07.2023

renubala

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE