

2023:PHHC:151514

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

232

CRM M-58769 of 2023
Date of Decision: 29.11.2023

Mintu Singh @ Mintu

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.S.SHEKHAWAT

Present : Mr. Vinod K. Kaushal, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. M.S. Bajwa, DAG, Punjab.

N.S.SHEKHAWAT, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the instant petition under Section 439 of the Cr.P.C. with a prayer to grant a regular bail in case FIR No.129 dated 01.04.2023 registered under Sections 379(B) (Section 379/411 IPC and Section 28/54/59 of Arms Act added lateron) at Police Station Islamabad, District Amritsar.

2. The FIR in the present case was registered on the basis of the statement made by Jaswinder Singh son of Sucha Singh by alleging that at about 9.00 p.m. on 31.03.2023, he was going on a Activa Scooter from Prem Nagar to his house at Indira colony. On the way, four unknown boys had snatched his Activa Scooter and fled from the spot with his Activa. The complainant had kept a sum of

Rs.50,000/-, Aadhar Card, PAN Card, Copy of registration and bundle of keys alongwith ATM and mobile phone in the scooter. With these allegations, the FIR was got registered by the complainant.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he has been falsely involved in the present case. Learned counsel further submits that co-accused Dalbir Singh @ Pakhana and Jagdhir Singh @ Jaggu have already been granted the concession of bail by this Court vide order dated 23.11.2023 in CRM M-32034-2023 and CRM M-40074 of 2023. He further contends that other similarly placed co-accused Jai Sharma @ Sukha Amritsariyan @ Kalu and Karan @ Karan Sharma @ Pinchu Gandhi have already been granted the concession of bail by this Court in CRM M-45540-2023 and CRM-M-56986-2023.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that there are serious and specific allegations against the petitioner and the petitioner is not entitled for the concession of regular bail.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

6. In view of the above, without commenting any further on the merits, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered

to be released on bail on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate/CJM concerned.

29.11.2023

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)

amit rana

JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No