

2023:PHHC:148063

COCp-3574-2023

Date of Decision: 21.11.2023

Sanjiv Kumar and another

...Petitioners

Vs.

Mandep Singh Maan and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA

Present: Mr. Rajinder Kumar Singla, Advocate for the petitioner.

SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA, J.(Oral)

1. The petitioners have preferred this contempt petition alleging violation of observations made by this Court in order dated 06.11.2023, whereby this Court observed that the respondents are directed to allot paddy to the petitioners in terms of the agreement executed between the parties.

2. Learned counsel submits that the paddy being distributed is not in terms of the agreement and has placed on record the agreement.

3. This Court in contempt proceedings would not examine the amount of paddy having been allotted to the petitioners. Issue has to be examined by the concerned writ Court where the writ petition is still pending adjudication.

4. In view thereof, the writ petition is misconceived and is dismissed accordingly.

**(SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA)
JUDGE**

21.11.2023.

rajesh

1. Whether speaking/reasoned?	:	Yes/No
2. Whether reportable?	:	Yes/No