

112 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRR(F)-12-2023

Date of Decision:06.01.2023

RAVI

..... Petitioner

Versus

MEENU

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr. Ashish Naik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner through instant petition is seeking quashing of order dated 24.11.2022 whereby Principal Judge, Family Court, Bhiwani has granted maintenance of Rs.18,000/- to the respondent-wife of the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that Family Court has awarded maintenance of Rs.18,000/- to respondent i.e. wife of the petitioner. The petitioner is concededly getting salary of Rs.45,000/- after deduction of tax, however, he is not staying at his own home. He is staying at Jodhpur, thus, Rs.18,000/- amount awarded is on the higher side. There is possibility of compromise between the parties, however, Family Court has not attempted to get the matter settled between the parties whereas in haste maintenance has been awarded. The petitioner has further filed a petition under Section 9 of Hindu Marriage Act.

Learned counsel for the petitioner confines his prayer to the extent of direction to Family Court to adjudicate the matter expeditiously

and find out the possibility of compromise between the parties.

In view of the statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, without going into the merits of the case, the court below is requested to expedite the matter and preferably decide within 3 months from the date of receipt of copy of this order and find out possibility of compromise between the parties.

Disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

06.01.2023
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>