

Surinder Kaur @ Shinder and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL**Present:** None for the petitioners.

Mr. Arun Luthra, DAG, Punjab.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (ORAL)

1. On 18.07.2023, the following order was passed:-

“As per report received from the learned Addl. Chief Judicial Magistrate, Tarn Taran, none of the private parties turned up for recording of their statements.

In any case, petitioners are accused of offence under Section 306 and 120-B of IPC. In view of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the State of Madhya Pradesh Versus Laxmi Narayan and others 2019(5) SCC 688 and Daxaben Versus State of Gujrat and others 2022 SCC Online SC 936, petition seeking quashing of FIR under Section 306 IPC on the basis of compromise, cannot be entertained.

There is no representation on behalf of the private parties.

List on 01.08.2023.

To be shown in the urgent list.”

2. Even today there is no representation on behalf of the petitioners.

This is the third consecutive non-representation on their behalf.

3. In view of the settled proposition of the law as has been noticed in the above reproduced order, there is no merit in the petition and the same is dismissed.

01.08.2023

Parveen kumar

**(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE**Whether speaking/reasoned :Yes/No
Whether reportable :Yes/No