

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR-6620-2018 (O&M)

Date of decision : 11.01.2023

Malayan Banking Berhad (MAYBANK)

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

Millennium Wires Pvt. Ltd. and Others

... Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ALKA SARIN

Present : Mr. Devmani Bansal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Vashishth, Advocate for respondent No.1.

Mr. Pulkit Goyal, Advocate for respondent No.2.

ALKA SARIN, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner has contended that the application for amendment filed by respondent No.2 herein is totally bereft of any details regarding the amendment sought. Infact, even the amended written statement was not appended with the application.

Faced with the same, learned counsel for respondent No.2, on instructions, states that the present revision petition may be allowed and the impugned order dated 13.04.2018 (Annexure P-10) may be set aside. However, liberty be granted to respondent No.2 to file an application afresh.

Learned counsel for respondent No.1 states that he has no objection.

In view of the statement made by learned counsel for respondent No.2, the impugned order dated 13.04.2018 (Annexure P-10) is set aside. However, respondent No.2 would be at liberty to move an application afresh. In case any application is moved for amendment of the written statement, the same shall be decided by the Court concerned in accordance with law.

Disposed off accordingly. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed off.

11.01.2023
Yogesh Sharma

(ALKA SARIN)
JUDGE

NOTE: Whether speaking/non-speaking: Speaking
Whether reportable: YES/NO