

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

202

CWP-1535-2018

Date of Decision: 25.08.2023

Ashok Sandhu

...Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and Others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Yagyaang Ajay, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr. Arvind Seth, Advocate for the respondent Nos.1 to 3
Mr. Mandeep Singh Sachdev, Advocate for respondent No.4

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner, through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondent Nos. 1 to 3 to look into complaint/representation of the petitioner and take appropriate action against respondent No.4.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that petitioner with respect to the application seeking passport of Rohit Sandhu (minor) has not prepared the documents. He has not signed any document with respect to aforesaid application, however, at the behest of respondent No.4 he has been implicated. The petitioner has moved a representation to passport authority which is having complete documents relating to passport issued to Rohit Sandhu. The petitioner has filed

complaint with respondent authorities to look into his grievance and ascertain who had applied for the passport issued to Rohit Sandhu.

3. Mr. Arvind Seth, learned counsel for the respondent Nos.1 to 3 submits that passport authority has not received any representation from the petitioner and if petitioner files representation, it would be looked into and an appropriate order would be passed within 6 weeks.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner concedes to the aforesaid arrangement.

5. In the wake of statement of learned counsel for the parties, the present petition stands disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

25.08.2023
Mohit Kumar

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>