

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-1745-2020(O&M)
Date of decision: 06.11.2023

Subhash Chander Dutta

... Petitioner

Versus

Jalandhar Improvement Trust

... Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL**

Present: Mr. Vinod K. Kanwal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Karanjit Singh, Addl. AG, Punjab.

Mr. Sanjeev Soni, Advocate,
for the respondent-Improvement Trust.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner herein has prayed for the following substantive relief:

“Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondents to decide the representations made by the petitioner seeking conversion of payment due to the respondent from lump sum payment to payment in 5 equal installments, which despite repeated efforts have been ignored by the respondent.

Or other order that the court may be pleased to grant under the facts and circumstances of the present case in the interest of justice and fair play.”

Having argued the matter at some length, learned counsel for the

respective parties have reached at a consensus that as the representations dated

14.04.2017 (P-6) and 13.07.2019 (P-7), submitted by the petitioner, are still pending before the concerned authorities, rather than submitting a formal response to the petition, it would be expedient if the petition is disposed of to enable the respondent authorities to deal with the claim of the petitioner. And pass appropriate order in accordingly law, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of six weeks from today.

Accordingly, in view of the statements made by learned counsel for the parties, the petition stands disposed of in terms thereof.

This Court is sanguine that the competent authority shall look into the grievances/concerns of the petitioner and pass appropriate order, assigning reasons in support thereof. Needless to assert that this order shall not constitute any expression of opinion on the merits of the case of either party, for, as indicated above, the competent authority shall examine the grievances of the petitioner, strictly in accordance with law.

(Arun Palli)
Judge

(Vikram Aggarwal)
Judge

06.11.2023
Rajan

Whether speaking / reasoned:	YES/NO
Whether Reportable:	YES/NO