

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

(111)

**CWP No. 26102 of 2023
Date of Decision: 28.11.2023**

Yudhishter Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA**

Present: Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Addl. A.G., Haryana with
Mr. Saurabh Mago, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Ashok Giri, Advocate
for respondent No. 7.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petitioner becomes aggrieved from the drawings of Annexure P-7 and Annexure P-8, whereby the respondent concerned, strive to take possession of the land(s), thus owned and possessed by the Gram Panchayat concerned, but without any valid eviction order becoming passed on the relevant *lis* rather by the Assistant Collector concerned.
2. Status report by way of affidavit sworn by the Block Development and Panchayat Officer, Block Rania, District Sirsa, has been filed, which is taken on record.
3. Though, in the above event, this Court may have quashed the annexures (*supra*), but since it is disclosed in paragraph 5 of the status report (*supra*), filed by the learned State counsel, that possession viz-a-viz the writ land(s) becomes not assumed in pursuance to the said annexures, by the

respondent concerned.

4. Therefore, in view of the above, the instant writ petition does not survive, and, is disposed of as such.

5. However, liberty is reserved to the Gram Panchayat concerned, to seek lawful eviction of the present petitioner from the disputed land(s).

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)
JUDGE

November 28, 2023
Gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No