

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

114

CRWP-11281-2023
Date of Decision : November 21, 2023

SUKHPREET KAUR AND ANOTHER -Petitioners

V/S

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS -Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. H.S. Batth, Advocate
for the petitioners.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (ORAL)

1. Through the instant petition, as filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, the petitioners have sought issuance of directions upon the official respondents, to ensure protection of their lives and liberty at the hands of respondents No.4 and 5, and, also to restrain the said respondents from harassing the petitioners or interfering in their life.
2. The learned counsel for the petitioners states that since both the petitioners have attained the minimum age, as prescribed by statute, for solemnizing marriage, therefore, they have lawfully solemnized marriage, however, it has caused grievance to the respondents no.4 and 5. Such grievance of the respondents No.4 and 5 has made them apprehensive of danger to their lives and liberty and resultantly, it has constrained them to approach this Court, to seek protection of their lives and liberty. The photographs evidencing marriage of the petitioners are available on record as Annexures P-3. The petitioners have also submitted a representation dated 16.11.2023 (Annexure P-4) to the respondent No.2.

3. Notice of motion to the official respondent(s) only.
4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Karunesh Kaushal, A.A.G, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondent(s).
5. Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, the instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent no.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, S.A.S. Nagar, to decide the representation (Annexure P-4) of the petitioners and grant them protection, if any threat to their lives and liberty is perceived. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order shall not be understood to have expressed any opinion whatsoever by this Court on the validity of the marriage of the petitioners.
6. Disposed of accordingly.

November 21, 2023
devinder

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No