

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-26140-2023

Date of decision : 21.11.2023

M/s Jhelum Roadways Regd. Amritsar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Raj Kaushik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Kunal Muthreja, AAG, Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. This is a civil writ petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent no.3 to consider / dispose of the objections dated 19.10.2023 diarised vide Diary no.4908 dated 23.10.2023 (Annexure P-10) filed by the petitioner to the tentative time table dated 17.10.2023.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner, at this stage, would be satisfied in case the respondent authority is directed to consider the objections dated 19.10.2023 (Annexure P-10) in a time bound manner and if after considering the same, in case, the plea by the petitioner is found to be meritorious, then necessary relief be granted to the petitioner.

3. Learned State counsel has submitted that the respondent authority would consider the said objections and decide the same within a

period of two weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of the present order.

4. Keeping in view the above said facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent authority to consider the objections dated 19.10.2023 filed by the petitioner and decide the same, in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of two weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of the present order and in case, after considering the same, the plea of the petitioner is found to be meritorious, then necessary relief be granted to the petitioner and in case, the plea of the petitioner is not found to be meritorious, then a speaking order rejecting the same be passed within a period of two weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of the present order.

5. It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the case and the respondent authority would consider and decide the objections independently, in accordance with law.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

November 21, 2023.
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No