

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No.7048 of 2023 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 22.11.2023

Inspector Surinderpal Singh @ Surinderpal Bansal

...Revisionist-Petitioner

Versus

Anil Kumar Narula & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present:- Ms. Anmol, Advocate,
for the revisionist-petitioner.

* * * *

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J.(ORAL)

By filing the instant revision petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner-defendant No.5-applicant has assailed the order (Annexure P-3) passed by learned Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division), Zira (for short 'the trial Court') in CM No.60 of 2023 titled as ***"Inspector Surinder Pal Bansal vs. Anil Kumar Narula"*** on 19.10.2023, whereby the trial Court, while allowing the application moved by him with the prayer to stay the proceedings in the execution petition pending against him, has directed him to furnish/submit the Demand Draft worth Rs.2 lac towards the decretal amount and he has also prayed for the issuance of the direction to the trial Court to decide the above-referred CM Application, as filed by him under Order 9 Rule 13 CPC, expeditiously.

2. While assisting the Court at the preliminary stage, learned counsel for the petitioner has restricted her prayer to issuance of direction to the trial Court to decide the afore-said CM expeditiously.

4. Keeping in view the above-discussed limited prayer as made by learned counsel for the petitioner and without commenting or expressing any opinion on the merits of the said Civil Miscellaneous Application, the revision petition in hand is, hereby, disposed of with the direction to the concerned trial Court to conclude the proceedings and to decide the aforementioned CM, in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible.

22.11.2023

seema

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>No</i>