

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(107)

CR-6236-2022

Date of Decision : January 04, 2023

Balwinder Pal Sharma

.. Petitioner

Versus

Preety

.. Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Sanjeev Roy, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that Principal Judge/Family Court Kharar may kindly be directed to decide the application of the petitioner seeking visitation rights expeditiously as non-decision of the same is causing prejudice to the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner had filed an application in the year 2022 seeking visitation rights so as to interact with his daughter Kavya Sharma, who is minor but the respondent-wife is seeking adjournments and the said adjournments are being allowed by the Court without any valid justification.

Prayer of the petitioner is for directing the trial Court to decide the application in a time bound manner.

Keeping in view the nature of the prayer that the only request of the petitioner is for deciding his application, the trial Court is directed to

decide the said application expeditiously preferably within a period of three months from the next date of hearing in case there is no impediment in deciding the same.

It is made clear that this Court is not making any observation on the merits of the case.

The present civil revision petition is disposed of in above terms.

January 04, 2023
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No