

214

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-58179-2023 (O&M)
Date of Decision:28.11.2023.

Satyawan ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. V.P. Sangwan, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr. Rajat Gautam, Addl. AG, Haryana.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J. (Oral)

FIR No	Dated	Police Station`	Sections
55	01.03.2022	Badhra, District Charkhi Dadri	120-B, 409, 420, 467, 468, 471 IPC (7 and 13 of Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 added during investigation against co- accused Mukesh and not against the petitioner.

1. The petitioner apprehending arrest in the FIR captioned above, had come up before this Court under Section 438 CrPC seeking anticipatory bail.
2. Vide order dated 20.11.2023, the petitioner was granted interim protection, which is continuing till date.
3. The petitioner's counsel argued that the custodial investigation would serve no purpose whatsoever, and the pre-trial incarceration would cause an irreversible injustice to the petitioner. Counsel for the petitioner on instructions submits that the petitioner has voluntarily complied with all the conditions of the bail order and joined the investigation. He further submits that embezzlement is Rs.3,20,000/- and said amount has already been

returned by the petitioner.

4. The State's counsel opposes the bail, however, while making reference Para No.9 of the reply admits that amount in question has already been deposited in the State head.

5. Given above, the present petition stand allowed and interim order dated 20.11.2023 is made absolute. All pending applications, if any, stand disposed.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

28.11.2023

pry

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether reportable: No.