

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

2023:PHHC:147172
COCP-3562-2023
Date of Decision: 20.11.2023

Anju Bala

...Petitioner

Vs.

Iqbal Singh alias Rocky

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA

Present Mr. Amrik Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA, J.(Oral)

1. The petitioner by way of this contempt petition seeks implementation of order passed by the Family Court dated 18.10.2019.

2. Learned counsel submits that the learned Addl. Principal Judge, Family Court, vide order dated 18.10.2019, directed the respondent to pay interim maintenance @ Rs.3000/- per month. However, till date the said order has not been complied with by the respondent.

3. This Court in COCP-2912-2023, titled **Seema Gyanchandani vs. Rahul Gyanchandani**, decided on 16.11.2023, has held that contempt would not lie directly before the High Court with regard to implementation of the orders passed by the Family Court.

4. In view thereof, the contempt petition is dismissed. However, dismissal of the contempt petition will not take away the right of the petitioner to avail his appropriate remedy, in accordance with law.

5. All pending misc. application(s) also stand disposed of.

**(SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA)
JUDGE**

20.11.2023.

rajesh

1. Whether speaking/reasoned?	:	Yes/No
2. Whether reportable?	:	Yes/No