

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

118

CWP-26276-2023 (O&M)

Date of decision:-23.11.2023

Nirmal Singh

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. J. K. Singla, Advocate for the petitioner.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed for issuance of appropriate orders or directions to respondent No.3 for passing of appropriate order in accordance with law in mutation case i.e Mutation No. 3203 of Village Peerkot, Tehsil Maur, District Bathinda within stipulated time period being pending since long, keeping in view of facts and circumstances of present case as well as in view of judgment dated 07.09.2000 (Annexure P-1) and amended decree dated 29.07.2022 (Annexure P-5) passed/issued vide order dated 29.07.2022 (Annexure P-4).

It has been contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that in pursuance to the decree passed by the civil court, the proceedings for sanctioning the mutation had been initiated and now the case is pending before the learned Sub-Divisional Magistrate. He

submits that both the parties have already filed their respective objections and the pleadings are complete but despite that further proceedings are not being carried out.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Akshita Chauhan, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

At the outset, upon instructions, learned State counsel has submitted that the objections filed by the other side are pending thus, the proceedings could not be concluded so far, however, the same would be concluded within three months.

In view of the above, the petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.3 to complete the proceedings within 3 months in accordance with law.

23.11.2023
pooja saini

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

: Yes/No
: Yes/No