

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

107

CRWP-11172-2023 (O&M)

Date of decision: 20.11.2023

Sandeep @ Bagdi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI

Present: Mr. Rohit Mittal, Advocate and
Ms. Arunima, Advocate for the petitioner.

VIKAS SURI, J.

1. Prayer in the present criminal writ petition under Article 226/277 of the Constitution of India is for issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to grant parole to the petitioner keeping in view the marriage of petitioner's nephew scheduled for 23.11.2023.

2. The petitioner is serving life sentence upon his conviction and the appeal there against having been dismissed by this Court vide judgment dated 23.08.2018 in case FIR No.415 dated 07.11.2012, under Sections 148, 149, 302, 216, 201, 120-B IPC and Section 25 of Arms Act, registered at Police Station Mahendergarh, District Mahendergarh.

3. On the query of the Court, learned counsel for the petitioner has very fairly stated that petitioner has not applied for parole before instituting the present petition. It is pointed out that petitioner has

categorically averred in para 6 of the petition that on account of paucity of time, the petitioner is unable to approach the jail authorities for parole.

4. In view of the fact that no demand has been made upon the jail authorities for grant of parole as contemplated under the Haryana Good Conduct Prisoners (Temporary Release) Act, 1988 and the rules framed there under, the prayer for issuance of mandamus is, thus, misconceived.

5. Accordingly, finding no merit in the present petition, the same is liable to be rejected.

6. Dismissed in *limine*.

November 20, 2023
sumit.k

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes / No
Whether Reportable :	Yes / No