

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

\*\*\*

CWP-27242-2023

Date of decision : 05.12.2023

Amit

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

***CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL***Present: Mr.Yashdeep Nain, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Addl.A.G. Haryana.

**VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)**

1. This is a civil writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of an appropriate writ, order or direction especially in the nature of mandamus for directing the respondents to join the petitioner as a Constable in the Haryana Police Force against category no.1 of advertisement no.4 of 2020 dated 30.12.2020 (Annexure P-1).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that for the grievances raised by the petitioner, the petitioner has given a representation Annexue P-7 and at this stage, would be satisfied in case the representation is considered by the competent authority of respondent no.1 in a time bound manner and if after considering the same, in case, the plea raised by the petitioner is found to be meritorious, then necessary relief be granted to the petitioner.

3. Learned State counsel has submitted that the competent authority of respondent no.1 would consider the said representation and

decide the same within a period of 6 weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of the present order.

4. Keeping in view the above said facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority of respondent no.1 to consider the representation Annexure P-7 of the petitioner and decide the same, in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of 6 weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of the present order and in case, after considering the same, the plea of the petitioner is found to be meritorious, then necessary relief be granted to the petitioner and in case, the plea of the petitioner is not found to be meritorious, then a speaking order rejecting the same be passed within a period of 6 weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of the present order.

5. It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the case and the competent authority of respondent no.1 would consider and decide the representation independently, in accordance with law.

(VIKAS BAHL)  
JUDGE

**December 05, 2023.**

*Davinder Kumar*

Whether speaking / reasoned  
Whether reportable

Yes/No  
Yes/No