

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

C.W.P. No. 26133 of 2023

Date of decision: 21.11.2023

**M/s Daksh Pharmaceuticals Pvt. Ltd.**  
**Vs.**

**.... Petitioner**

**State of Haryana and another**

**.... Respondents**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI**  
**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL**

Present: Mr. Nitish Bhatia, Advocate  
for the petitioner.  
Mr. Ankur Mittal, Advocate with  
Ms. Kushaldeep Kaur, Advocate  
for respondent-HSIIDC.

**ARUN PALLI, J (Oral)**

The petitioner herein has prayed for the following substantive relief:

***“Civil Writ Petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to release Industrial Plot No.78, Sector-10, Phase-II, IE Barwala measuring 4050 sqm, secured by the petitioner by giving highest bid, the booking of which was confirmed by the respondents through e-mail dated 10.10.2023, keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case as well as in the interest of justice.”***

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner participated in the auction conducted by the respondents for allotment of an industrial plot in different segments. Since the petitioner was adjudged as the highest bidder (H-1), he was allotted an industrial plot No. 78, Sector 10, Phase-II, Industrial Estate, Barwala measuring 4050 square meters. However, without assigning any reasons, he received an e-mail dated 10.11.2023 (P-6), vide which, he is being communicated that as the bid submitted by him has not been accepted by the authorities, the earnest

money (Rs.45.03 Lakh), deposited by him, shall be refunded shortly. It is urged that the petitioner, being aggrieved, served the authorities with a notice dated 11.11.2023 (P-7), which too has failed to evoke any response. Not just that, even the amount deposited by the petitioner has not yet been released.

Upon being served with an advance copy of the petition, Mr. Ankur Mittal, Advocate, for respondents is present in Court. He submits that let this petition be disposed of, to enable the competent authorities, to deal with the concerns/grievances of the petitioner and pass appropriate orders in accordance with law. He further submits that necessary orders shall be passed within three days from today after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner.

Learned counsel for petitioner is agreeable to the course suggested by the learned counsel for respondents and submits that let this petition be disposed of in view of the statement made by him.

In the wake of the position sketched out above, this petition is accordingly disposed of in terms of the statement made by learned counsel for the parties.

This Court is sanguine that the authorities shall consider/examine the matter in issue, and pass appropriate orders, in accordance with law, within the time indicated by learned counsel for the respondents, assigning reasons in support thereof.

Needless to assert that this order shall not constitute an expression of opinion on the merits of the case of either party, for, as indicated earlier, the competent authority shall examine the grievances of the petitioner, strictly in accordance with law.

**(ARUN PALLI)**  
**JUDGE**

**(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)**  
**JUDGE**

21.11.2023  
deepak

|                           |   |        |
|---------------------------|---|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | : | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | : | Yes/No |