

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

113

CRWP-11169-2023

Date of Decision : November 20, 2023

RITI ALIAS RITIKA AND ANOTHER

-Petitioners

V/S

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

-Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Lovepreet Handa, Advocate
for the petitioners.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (ORAL)

1. Through the instant petition, as filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, the petitioners, whose genders are similar and are in a 'live-in relationship', have sought issuance of directions upon the official respondents No.1 to 3, to ensure protection of their lives and liberty at the hands of private respondents No.4 to 10, and, also to restrain the said respondents from harassing the petitioners or interfering in their personal life.

2. The petitioners, in their asking for the relief (supra), have made submissions that both of them have attained the age of majority, as the petitioner No.1 was born on 28.10.2004, while the petitioner No.2 was born on 20.11.2000. The petitioners have appended their respective Aadhar Cards as Annexures P-1 and P-2 with the instant petition. The further submissions, as made in the instant petition, are that both the petitioners are unmarried and are living in 'live-in relationship'. However, their 'live-in relationship' has caused grievance to the private respondents.

As a result of such grievance, the private respondents threatened to kill the petitioners, which has made them apprehensive of danger to their lives and liberty and resultantly, has constrained them to approach this Court, to seek protection of their lives and liberty.

3. It is further averred in the petition, that consequent upon threats being extended by the private respondents, the petitioners made a representation dated 16.11.2023 (Annexure P-3) to the respondent no.2, expressing therein their apprehension qua danger to their lives at the hands of the private respondents.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that he would be satisfied in case the respondent no.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Bathinda, is directed to look into the representation (supra) and after considering threat perception to the petitioners, to take appropriate action.

5. Notice of motion to the official respondent(s) only.

6. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Karunesh Kaushal, A.A.G, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.

7. Without commenting anything as regards the veracity of the averments made in the petition, this Court deems it appropriate to dispose of the present petition with a direction to respondent no.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Bathinda, to consider the representation (supra) and to assess the threat perception to the petitioners and after considering the same, respondent No.2 shall take appropriate action in accordance with law. In case, it is found that there is a genuine threat to the lives and liberty of the petitioners, then necessary steps warranted under law be taken at the earliest, so as to ensure that no harm is caused to the

petitioners.

9. Disposed of accordingly.

November 20, 2023

devinder

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

:

Yes/No