

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

\*\*\*

CWP-26215-2023

Date of decision : 22.11.2023

Vakil Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

Punjabi University, Patiala

... Respondent

***CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL***

Present: Ms.Rozy Bhardwaj, Advocate for  
Mr. Arun Sharma, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Ms.Divya Godara, Advocate  
for the respondent.

**VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)**

1. This is a civil writ petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ, order or direction especially in the nature of mandamus by giving the direction to the respondent to consider the legal notice dated 09.11.2023 (Annexure P-1) of the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that for the grievances raised by the petitioner, the petitioner has given a legal notice dated 09.11.2023 (Annexure P-1) and at this stage, would be satisfied in case the said legal notice is considered by the competent authority of the respondent in a time bound manner and if after considering the same, in case, the plea by the petitioner is found to be meritorious, then necessary relief be granted to the petitioner.

3. Learned counsel for the respondent-University has submitted that the competent authority of the respondent would consider the said legal

notice and decide the same within a period of 2 weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of the present order.

4. Keeping in view the above said facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority of the respondent to consider the legal notice dated 09.11.2023 (Annexure P-1) of the petitioner and decide the same, in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of 2 weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of the present order and in case, after considering the same, the plea of the petitioner is found to be meritorious, then necessary relief be granted to the petitioner and in case, the plea of the petitioner is not found to be meritorious, then a speaking order rejecting the same be passed within a period of 2 weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of the present order.

5. It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the case and the competent authority of the respondent would consider and decide the representation independently, in accordance with law.

(VIKAS BAHL)  
JUDGE

November 22, 2023.  
*Davinder Kumar*

|                             |        |
|-----------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking / reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable          | Yes/No |