

**144 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.58382 of 2023 (O&M)

Date of decision : 20.11.2023

Manu Kumar Singh

..... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present :- Mr. Pardeep Panwar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Kumar Sehrawat, DAG, Haryana

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (ORAL)

1 The petitioner has prayed for grant of pre-arrest bail in F.I.R. No.90 dated 29.12.2022 registered under Sections 419/420 IPC, Section 120-B IPC and Section 66-D of IT Act added later on at Police Station Cyber crime Gurugram, District Gurugram.

2 On advance notice, Mr. Ashok Kumar Sehrawat, DAG, Haryana appears and does not deny that the offences for which the petitioner has been booked would invite conviction at the most for the maximum of 7 years and thus the agency has to comply with Section 41-A of the Code in case his custody is required as per the dictum of law laid down by the Supreme Court in *Arnesh Kumar Vs. State of Bihar & anr., (2014) 8 SCC 273*.

3 In view of the statement made by learned State counsel, the present petition is disposed off as the Court is quite sanguine that not only the State would be bound by the statement made before this Court but also shall honour the dictum of law laid down in *Arnesh Kumar's case supra*.

4 Petition stands disposed off.

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

20.11.2023
Pooja sharma-I

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable :	No