

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

217

CRM-M-60748-2022

Date of Decision: 29th May, 2023

Nachhattar Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. G.S. Sadhrao, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Iqbal Singh Mann, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J.(Oral)

1. This is third petition filed seeking regular bail in FIR No. 50, dated 14th April, 2020, under Section 21/25/29 of Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 (for short 'the Act') (Section 473 IPC, 1860 vide challan dated 8.10.2020 added later on) registered at Police Station Special Task Force, Phase IV, District Mohali, Punjab.
2. The main thrust for seeking regular bail is on the ground of custody period.
3. Learned State Counsel on instructions from ASI Jagtar Singh submits that out of total sixteen prosecution witnesses, seven prosecution witnesses (PWs) have given up, three PWs have been completely examined and examination-in-chief of two PWs has been recorded and next date before the trial Court is 03.07.2023. He further submits that an earnest effort would be made to examine the remaining PWs within three months from the date fixed in the trial Court.

CRM-M-60748-2022

-2-

4. In view of the statement made by learned counsel for the State, learned counsel for the petitioner is not pressing the petition at this stage.
5. Dismissed as not pressed.
6. There is no doubt that in case a request is made before the court concerned for accommodating the date for examining the prosecution witnesses, the same would be considered in accordance with law.
7. Since the main case has been dismissed as not pressed the pending application(s), if any is rendered infructuous.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

29th May, 2023
anuradha

Whether reasoned/speaking	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No