

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

134

CWP-26047-2023

Date of Decision : 20.11.2023

Pintu Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr. Wazir Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Divya Sharma, Sr. Panel Counsel
for the respondents.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of order dated 14.01.2020 (Annexure P-3) and 25.09.2023 (Annexure P-7) whereby his claim qua salary and other allowances has been rejected by adjudicating as well as Appellate Authority.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner, at the outset, submits that he confines his prayer qua seniority and promotion.

3. Learned counsel for the respondents, who on advance notice is present in Court, submits that the petitioner was initially claiming salary and other financial benefits for the period from 14.02.2017 to 16.05.2019 i.e. period during which he was in judicial custody on account of death of his wife in mysterious circumstances. The petitioner, at this stage, is

claiming benefit of seniority and promotion. The competent authority

would look into claim of the petitioner qua promotion and seniority.

4. In the wake of statement of learned counsel for the respondents, the petition stands disposed of. The respondents are directed to consider case of the petitioner qua promotion and seniority in accordance with law. The needful shall be done within 06 months from today.

20.11.2023
anju

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>