

2023:PHHC:077888

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

207

CRM-M No.60596 of 2022
Date of Decision: 29.05.2023

Joginder Singh @ Shami

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: *HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA*

Present:- Mr. Vipul Jindal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Rishu Madan, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondent-State.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (Oral)

Learned State counsel has submitted the custody-certificate of the petitioner as well as the short Reply on behalf of the respondent-State (by way of the affidavit of the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Patti, District Tarn Taran) in the Court today and both these documents are taken on the record.

However, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the instant petition, at this stage, while further praying that as per the custody-certificate, the petitioner has been in custody for the last more than 03 years & 10 months and therefore, the trial Court be directed to decide the case, pending against the petitioner, expeditiously.

Learned State counsel has no objection to the above-discussed submission as well as the prayer as made by learned counsel for the

petitioner.

Resultantly, the petition in hand stands dismissed for having been withdrawn, at this stage, with a direction to the concerned trial Court to conclude the trial proceedings and to decide the criminal case, pending before it, in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible.

29.05.2023
neetu

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes*
Whether Reportable: *No*