

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(121)

CWP-27-2023

Date of decision: - 09.01.2023

Sushil Mittal @ Sushil Kumar Mittal

....Petitioner

Versus

Estate Officer, Cantonment Board, Ferozepur Cantt. and another

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Puneet Kumar Bansal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

This writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the order dated 12.08.2022 (Annexure P-6) whereby the appeal filed by the petitioner has been dismissed as well as for setting aside the order dated 20.11.2017 (Annexure P-4) passed by respondent No.1.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that since in the impugned order dated 12.08.2022, the wife of the petitioner, namely, Manju Mittal has been granted liberty to avail the appropriate remedy to challenge the impugned proceedings and the petitioner has given a statement that he has no concern with the property in question, thus, the petitioner seeks to withdraw the present writ petition as his wife seeks to challenge the impugned order in accordance with law.

In view of the above statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the present writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

In case the wife of the petitioner challenges the impugned proceedings, then the same would be decided in accordance with law.

January 09, 2023

naresh.k

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No