

CRM-M-58191-2023
Date of decision: 29.11.2023

...PETITIONER

...RESPONDENT

5. Learned State counsel could not refute the afore-said contentions to the effect that case of the petitioner is not different from co-accused Sataywart, Kapil and that of Manbir.

6. In view of the afore-said circumstances and particularly on the ground of parity, but without commenting anything upon the merits of the case, the petitioner is admitted to regular bail and he is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

November 29, 2023
manisha

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|------|---------------------------|--------|
| (i) | Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| (ii) | Whether reportable | Yes/No |