

IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH.

233

CWP-35727-2019 (O&M).
Date of Decision: 20.07.2023.

PAVITTAR SINGH

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

Present: Mr. Jaswinder Singh Rana, Advocate, for
Mr. Kuldeep K. Sarthi, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Niharika Sharma, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Arav Gupta, Advocate,
for respondents No.2 to 4.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

The instant writ petition has been preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, 1950, for seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to release the tubewell connection under the Chairman Discretionary Quota on priority which was duly sanctioned to the petitioner vide letter dated 08.09.2016 (Annexure P-2) issued by the office of Addl. S.E. (Sales) CE Commercial, PSPCL, Patiala.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner had got about 20 kanals of landed property under Khasra No.58, Min (20-0) situated in village Jahangir, Tehsil Nakodar, District Jalandhar and the petitioner is in possession for the last 30 years. The petitioner had applied for the tubewell connection under the Chairman Discretionary Quota which was allowed vide Annexure P-2 dated 08.09.2016. It is contended that despite the due approval on the part of the authorities concerned, tubewell connections has not been issued to the petitioner. It is further contended that when numerous requests sent by the petitioner yielded no results, he sent a representation dated 27.03.2017 (Annexure P-5) regarding release of the tubewell connection but the respondents have failed to pay any heed to the requests of the petitioner, hence the present petition has been filed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that at this juncture, the petitioner would be satisfied in case a direction is issued to the respondents to look into the representation dated 27.03.2017 (Annexure P-5) and the grievance espoused by the petitioner therein and a speaking order is passed thereupon in accordance with law and in a time bound manner.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents have no objection to the aforesaid prayer being granted.

Accordingly, with the consent of the parties and without commenting upon the merits of the case, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent No.2 – Executive Officer, Sub Urban Division, Nakodar, District Jalandhar, to look into the grievance espoused by the petitioner in the representation dated 27.03.2017 (Annexure P-5) sent by the petitioner and to pass a speaking and reasoned order thereupon in accordance with law within a period of two months from the

date of receipt of certified copy of this order after granting an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner.

Needless to mention that in the event the entitlement of the petitioner being established as per law, the needful shall be done expeditiously and preferably within a further period of two months.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

July 20, 2023
raj arora

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No