

**153 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
 AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-58252-2023
Date of decision: 20.11.2023**

TARSEM SINGH

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present: Mr. Harmanjit Singh Thiara, Advocate for the petitioner.

VIVEK PURI, J. (ORAL)

1. Petitioner has assailed the order dated 31.10.2023 passed by the learned trial Court vide which the petitioner has been declared as proclaimed offender.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in terms of order dated 05.07.2022 passed by the trial Court, the petitioner was granted permission to travel abroad for a period of 04 months. He returned back within the prescribed time frame and had put appearance in the trial Court. Subsequently, the petitioner had to again go abroad on account of health issues of his daughter. On the wrong advise of the counsel that the matter in the trial Court is fixed for a longer date, he went abroad without getting permission from the trial Court. The petitioner suffered health issues while he was staying abroad and has since returned back. The petitioner undertakes to surrender before the trial Court within a period of one week. Learned counsel for the petitioner restricts his prayer only to extent. that the trial Court may be directed to dispose of the application for bail that may be moved by the petitioner in an expeditious

CRM-M-58252-2023

-2-

3. Dismissed as withdrawn. However, the learned trial Court is requested to look into the feasibility of expeditious disposal of the bail application, if any, moved by the petitioner, in the event, he surrenders within a period of one week in accordance with law.

20.11.2023

renubala

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No