

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M-60404-2022

Date of Decision:-02.05.2023

Deepak Kumar.

.....Petitioner.

Vs.

State of Haryana & Anr.

.....Respondents.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present:- Mr. Sartaj Singh Thakur, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Poswal, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Ajay Kumar Choudhary, Advocate for respondent no.2.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J.(ORAL)

The prayer in this petition is for quashing of FIR No.58 dated 08.02.2019 (Annexure P-1) under Sections 420 IPC “Sections 467, 468, 471, 201 IPC added later on” registered at P.S. Kaithal City, District Kaithal and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 11.12.2020 (Annexure P-2) and 22.03.2021 (Annexure P-3) arrived at between the parties.

Vide order dated 17.01.2023 this Court had directed the parties to appear before Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in terms of certain parameters given in the aforesaid order dated 17.01.2023 with regard to the compromise dated 11.12.2020 (Annexure P-2) and 22.03.2021 (Annexure P-3).

In terms of the order dated 17.01.2023 passed by this Court parties have appeared before the court of Dr. Rajni Kaushal, Chief Judicial

Magistrate, Kaithal and as per report dated 10.03.2023 submitted to this Court, both the parties have got recorded their respective statements in Court.

Perusal of the aforesaid report would show that the parties have effected a genuine compromise without there being any pressure, coercion or undue influence. In view of the compromise there is a remote possibility of the complainant coming forward to support the prosecution case. The powers under Section 482 Cr.PC can be exercised in such like situation in order to prevent unnecessary vagaries of criminal trial to be faced by the parties, when there are remote chances of conviction of the accused. The compromise in question is found to be fully in consonance with the direction issued by the Court in *Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052* and *Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr., 2012(4) RCR (Crl.) 543*.

In view of the aforesaid report of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Kaithal accompanied by statements of both the parties, the FIR No.58 dated 08.02.2019 (Annexure P-1) under Sections 420 IPC “Sections 467, 468, 471, 201 IPC added later on” registered at P.S. Kaithal City, District Kaithal and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed.

Petition stands disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

May 02, 2023
Vinay

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No