

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

130

CWP-25946-2023

Date of Decision: 20.11.2023

Ramandeep Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and Others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Balbir Singh Jaswal, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Aman Dhir, DAG, Punjab

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to the respondents to revise his special family pension with effect from 01.12.2011.

2. The father of the petitioner was working as Assistant Sub-Inspector with Punjab Police, who on 30.04.2006, died in a bomb blast. The mother of the petitioner also died in the said bomb blast. The petitioner's brother was initially granted family pension who became disentitled on attaining the age of 25 years. Thereafter, the petitioner was granted special family pension. The petitioner requested the respondents to revise his special pension in terms of instructions dated 18.10.2017 issued by State Government.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that matter is pending before respondent No.3/District Treasury Officer, Hoshiarpur who

is not looking into the grievance of the petitioner despite instruction issued by office of Accountant General, Punjab.

4. Mr. Aman Dhir, DAG, Punjab, who on advance notice is present in Court on behalf of the respondents, assures the Court that competent authority would look into grievance of the petitioner and an appropriate order would be passed within 3 months from today.

5. In the wake of statement made by learned State counsel, the present petition stands disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

20.11.2023
Mohit Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No