

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

220-4

CWP-35711-2019

Date of Decision : 28.07.2023

Nikhil Raj and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

National Institute of Technology and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : None for the petitioners.

Mr. A.S.Virk, Advocate
for the respondents-NIT.

Mr. Anil Chawla, Advocate
for the respondent-UOI.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioners through instant petition under Article 226/227 of Constitution of India are seeking setting aside of advertisement No.21/2017 (Annexure P-2) whereby the respondents have invited application for recruitment of Assistant Professor.

2. Mr. A.S.Virk, Advocate, at the outset, submits that impugned advertisement stands withdrawn, thus, the present petition has become infructuous.

3. Despite matter being called twice, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner.

4. In view of statement of learned counsel for the respondent-NIT, the present petition has rendered infructuous.

5. Disposed of as infructuous.
6. There is no representation of the petitioners, thus, the petitioners are at liberty to move an appropriate application, if something survives.

28.07.2023
anju

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>