

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRR No. 2959 of 2022 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION :- 03.10.2023**

Rajesh Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present:- Mr. Krishan Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Kumar Sehrawat, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. P.S. Chahal, Advocate
for Mr. Viney Saini, Advocate for respondent No. 2.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has already served the sentence of 1 year awarded by the Courts while convicting him for offence punishable under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act. The said fact stands corroborated by the custody certificate produced by the State counsel. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that petitioner does not wish to challenge his conviction, therefore, this petition has become infructuous and it be disposed of accordingly.

In view of above, the present petition is dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

**(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE**

03.10.2023

p.singh

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No