

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

102

CWP-25848 of 2023
Date of Decision:17.11.2023

VIVEK ANAND AHUJA

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER CUM APPELLATE AUTHORITY AND OTHERS

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Amit Jhanji, Senior Advocate, with
Mr. Shashank Shekhar Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Neha Awasthi, Advocate,
for respondents No. 1 to 5-UT Chandigarh.

Mr. Anand Chhibbar, Senior Advocate, with
Mr. Harish Mehla, Advocate,
for respondents No. 6 and 7.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that vide Diary No. 1246279 dated 06.11.2023 appeal has been preferred against the order of the appellate authority under the Maintenance of Parents & Welfare of Senior Citizens, Act, 2007, U.T. Chandigarh but has not been listed so far whereas order dated 19.10.2023 passed by the authority, period of 30 days has been granted as against the statutory period of 60 days for vacating the premises in question by the petitioner-appellant.

2. Without adverting on the merits of the case at this stage, Mr. Amit Jhanji, learned Senior Counsel submits that the directions be issued to the

appellate authority to list the appeal and to proceed in the same in accordance with the law.

3. In view of the above, the appellate authority exercising the powers under the Act shall list the appeal for hearing on 22.11.2023 i.e. Wednesday as has been informed is the day on which the *quasi judicial* authority conducts the Court and proceed further in the matter strictly adhearing to the law.

The present petition in the aforesaid terms stands disposed of.

This order shall not be construed as any opinion or for any other consideration to decide in a particular manner.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

November 17, 2023
dinesh

Whether speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No